DECISION-MAKER:		COUNCIL					
SUBJECT:		NEW ARTS COMPLEX PROJECT					
DATE OF DECISION:		15 JULY 2015					
REPORT OF:		LEADER OF THE COUNCIL					
CONTACT DETAILS							
AUTHOR:	Name:	Mike Harris	Tel:	023 8083 2882			
	E-mail:	mike.harris@southampton.gov.uk					
Director	Name:	Mark Heath	Tel:	023 8083 2371			
	E-mail:	mark.heath@southampton.gov.uk					

STAT	EMENT C	OF CONFIDENTIALITY		
None				
BRIE	F SUMMA	ARY		
Comp		sue and receipt of tenders to deliver the fit-out contract for the Arts of, additional funding is required if the scheme is to be completed to the ard.		
RECO	OMMEND	ATIONS:		
	(i)	To add, in accordance with Financial Procedure Rules, an additional sum of £1,959,000 to the Leaders Capital Programme for delivery of the New Arts Complex Project and to fund from Council resources.		
	(ii)	To delegate to the Chief Financial Officer, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Resources, authority to determine the most appropriate way of financing this sum.		
	(iii)	To note that the scheme value changes from £23,150,000 to $\pounds 25,109,000$, with a revised phasing of £9,458,000 in prior years, $\pounds 10,457,000$ in 2015/16, $\pounds 5,016,000$ in 2016/17 and $\pounds 178,000$ in 2017/18.		
	(iv)	To delegate authority to the Head of Leisure, Culture Planning and Transport to complete all operational matters including the granting of leases.		
REAS	SONS FO	R REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS		
1	with ex	To ensure the project can progress and meet the necessary deadlines agreed with external funders and maximise the benefits of the investment in the Cultural Quarter.		
ALTE	RNATIVE	OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED		
2	from fu leave f forwar	Not to progress the scheme. This would leave the Council exposed to claims from funders and partners for funds invested in the scheme to date and would leave the site undeveloped for some time, until alternative plans were bought forward. This subsequently would delay the benefit of such a substantial investment in the City Centre and not bring about employment opportunities		

	and visitor spend for the City.			
DETAIL	(Including consultation carried out)			
3	The Arts Complex is the next critical component of the Cultural Quarter. Guildhall Square and SeaCity Museum have made a major contribution to the regeneration of the area, through major events and the tens of thousands of visitors attracted to the quarter. Other businesses have been attracted to the area and are performing well. Grosvenor has concluded leases on many of the commercial units within the broader development of the Arts Complex, and fit out works for some of these units are already underway. These commercial units will open in the Autumn of 2015.			
4	Southampton's new arts complex will provide a stunning contemporary arts space with outstanding facilities for performance, visual arts, film and digital media, forming a major part of a new development on the eastern side of the Cultural Quarter, fronting onto Guildhall Square. These flexible spaces will transform Southampton's ability to show a whole range of contemporary performing and visual arts. This will enable the city to attract new and exciting work from national and international artists; and to develop programmes for participation, especially by younger people. The arts complex will be a hub for contemporary cultural activity, at the heart of the Cultural Quarter and provide a new home for City Eye and the John Hansard Gallery, together with additional performance spaces to be operated by the Nuffield Theatre			
5	The Arts complex project had a total budget of £23.15m, which has developed over a significant number of years, as major changes in how the project is to be delivered have arisen, and ongoing efforts to retain funding partners have been successfully made.			
6	The project requires the fit out of a shell to be delivered by Grosvenor Ltd. The design of the shell fit out has been developed over a number of years, with intense scrutiny in recent months, as the tenants finalise their requirements, as their occupation is intended to last 40 years.			
7	The council appointed Galiford Try on a pre-construction agreement, to assist with an efficient move to market once design had been completed. They have now been to tender, with a variety of packages to deliver the overall fit out programme. The response from the market has been unfavourable, with significant efforts required to remove qualifications to tenders, and to secure sufficient competition in certain packages. Ultimately however, this has led to a tender price that is considerably in excess of the current budget.			
8	The project has undergone significant value engineering in the past, to manage the cost pressure. In late 2012, circa £700k of costs were removed, in addition to earlier value engineering exercises. It is not considered possible to remove further costs without limiting the ability of the building to perform as required by the operators or as the other key funder, the Arts Council, would require			
RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS				
Capital/Revenue				
9	It is proposed that an additional £1,959,000 be added to the capital programme and used to the increased shell build costs. The pre tender reports in May 2015, advised that the forecast Contract Sum for the fit out Contract could be contained within the budget, however, the lump sum now			

10	negotiated with the contractor requires additional resource. The Chief Financial Officer will determine the most appropriate way of funding this sum. It is noted that additional revenue will be generated for the Council through
10	It is noted that additional revenue will be generated for the Council through
	this scheme proceeding, mainly through increased retained business rates,
	and council tax.
Proper	ty/Other
11	None
LEGAL	IMPLICATIONS
Statuto	ry power to undertake proposals in the report:
12	Section 1 of the Localism Act 2011 permits a Council to do anything that an individual may do, whether or not normally undertaken by a local authority (the General Power of Competence). The power is subject to any pre or post commencement provisions, none of which apply in this case).
Other L	egal Implications:
13	None
POLIC	Y FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS
14	The project is in line with the Policy Framework and will assist the Council in meeting the overall aims of the Policy Framework, including the objectives set out in the Southampton City Strategy 2014-17.

KEY DE	CISION?	Yes					
WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED: S		Scheme is	cheme is in Bargate				
	<u>SL</u>	JPPORTING D	OCUMENTA	TION			
Append	lices						
	None						
Documents In Members' Rooms							
	None						
Equality	Equality Impact Assessment						
Do the implications/subject of the report require an Equality Impact No Assessment (EIA) to be carried out.							
Other Background Documents							
Equality Impact Assessment and Other Background documents available for inspection at:							
Title of Background Paper(s)Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Sche 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable)			es / Schedule be				
1.							